## Formaldehyde - NASA Goddard ISAF vs CU-INSTAAR CAMS



10s Merge with 70\% Data


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { All Data Points } & \text { Data Points > DL } & \text { Data Points > DL } \\
(33677 \mathrm{pts}) & (32662 \mathrm{pts}) & \text { Outliers Removed } \\
y=a+b x & y=a+b x & (32661 p t s) \\
a=70.0 \pm 6.3 & a=67.3 \pm 6.5 & y=a+b x \\
b=1.100 \pm 0.002 & b=1.100 \pm 0.002 & a=86.8 \pm 6.2 \\
R^{2}=0.906 & R^{2}=0.906 & b=1.085 \pm 0.002 \\
& & R^{2}=0.911
\end{array}
$$

Data Points > DL Data Points > DL (39636 pts)
$y=a+b x$
$a=124.5 \pm 6.9$
$b=1.057 \pm 0.002$
$R^{2}=0.863$
R

Outliers Removed
(39627 pts)

$$
y=a+b x
$$

$$
a=-67.2 \pm 5.7
$$

$b=1.101 \pm 0.002$
$R^{2}=0.904$

## Difference dependence on $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ value

## Uncertainty envelopes based on 10s combined data uncertainty

(CU-INSTAAR CAMS calculated from data file, NASA Goddard ISAF $= \pm(10 \mathrm{pptv}+(10 \mathrm{pptv}+10 \%))$

( All Data Points, © Data Points < DL)


## Frequency distribution

## 10s Archive Merge




## 10s Merge 70\% Data




## Time Shift Analysis - 09/06/13



- Shifting CAMS data -2 seconds yields better agreement for 2 out of 5 points.


## Time Shift Analysis - 09/09/13



- Shifting CAMS data -2 seconds does not significantly improve agreement.


## Data:

- 10 second merge: SEAC4RS-mrg10-dc8_merge_20130806_R5_thru20130923.ict
- 1 second CAMS: SEAC4RS-CH2O_DC8_\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#_RO.ict (\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# = daily files from 20130806-20130923).
- 1 second ISAF: SEAC4RS-ISAF-H2CO_DC8_\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#_R1.ict (\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# = daily files from 20130806-20130923).


## Correlation:

- 10s merge with $70 \%$ data are calculated using 1 s PI data files. Each 10 s interval must contain at least $70 \%$ of data for analysis.
- 10s archive merge outliers removed iteratively when Cook's Distance > 1 (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cook\'s_distance).
- Outlier removed from 70\% data 10s merge based on largest Cook's Distance.
- Fit lines are derived from orthogonal distance regressions.
- $\quad R^{2}$ values are calculated independently, not from orthogonal distance regression.


## Uncertainty propagation (Uncertainties provided by PIs).

- CAMS 1s uncertainty: reported in data file; 10s uncertainty: calculated from 1s LOD quadrature average and SMU 10s average.
- ISAF 1s uncertainty: $\pm[30$ pptv + (10 pptv $+10 \%)] ; 10$ s uncertainty: $\pm[9.5 \mathrm{pptv}+(10 \mathrm{pptv}+10 \%)]$.


## Difference dependence on $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ value:

- Absolute difference calculated by CAMS - ISAF.
- Median, $25^{\text {th }}$, and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles based on 3000 data point bins after data is sorted by CAMS values.
- Uncertainty envelopes based on 10 s data uncertainty.


## Frequency Distributions:

- NOAA CAMS data divided into 2 regions (< 500 ppt , >= 500 ppt ).
- Frequency distribution bin width [< 500 ppt$]=15$; Frequency distribution bin width [>= 500 ppt$]=40$

Time Shift Impact: Bins containing at least 70\% of data

- Assume 10s merge will largely mitigate minor time shift impact.
- Case studies show 6 out of 8 data points across the 2 analyses do not significantly improve agreement when 1 s data is shifted, i.e., the 10 s merge lessens the time shift influence.

Summary: Archived 10s merge

| Data Range | \# Points | \# Pts within Combined Unc. | \# Pts within 2*Combined Unc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 32634 | $12490(30 \%)$ | $27536(67 \%)$ |
| $<500 \mathrm{ppt}$ | 19992 | $4421(22 \%)$ | $9619(48 \%)$ |
| $>=500 \mathrm{ppt}$ | 21021 | $1519(7 \%)$ | $3101(15 \%)$ |

Summary: 10s merge with 70\% data

| Data Range | \# Points | \# Pts within Combined Unc. | \# Pts within 2*Combined Unc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 33677 | $5655(17 \%)$ | $11205(33 \%)$ |
| $<500 \mathrm{ppt}$ | 16396 | $10710(65 \%)$ | $13409(82 \%)$ |
| $>=500 \mathrm{ppt}$ | 17281 | $2520(15 \%)$ | $4764(28 \%)$ |

