

File Revision Date:

August 26, 2021

Data Set Description:

PI: David Tarasick, Jonathan Davies

Instrument: ECC ozonesonde

Site: Eureka (weather station: 79.99 N, 85.90 W )

Measurement Quantities: Pressure, Temperature, RH, Wind speed and direction, Geopotential height, Ozone partial pressure, Box temperature

Contact Information:

Name: David Tarasick, Jonathan Davies

Address: Environment and Climate Change Canada  
4905 Dufferin Street  
Downsview, ON  
Canada M3H 5T4

Phone: David Tarasick 416-739-4623, Jonathan Davies 416-739-4210

FAX: 416-739-4281

Email: David.Tarasick@ec.gc.ca, Jonathan.Davies@ec.gc.ca

DOI:

doi:10.14287/10000008

Data License:

CC0

Reference Articles:

Tarasick, D.W., H.G.J. Smit, A.M. Thompson G.A. Morris, J.C. Witte, J. Davies, T. Nakano, R. van Malderen, R.M. Stauffer, T. Deshler, B.J. Johnson, R. Stübi, S.J. Oltmans and H. Vömel (2021), Improving ECC ozonesonde data quality: Assessment of current methods and outstanding issues. *Earth and Space Science*, 8, e2019EA000914.  
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000914>.

Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Kollonige, D. E., Witte, J. C., Tarasick, D. W., Davies, J., H. Vömel, G.A. Morris, R. Van Malderen, B.J. Johnson, R.R. Querel, H.B. Selkirk, R. Stübi, H.G.J. Smit ( 2020), A post-2013 dropoff in total ozone at a third of global ozonesonde stations: Electrochemical concentration cell instrument artifacts? *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 47, e2019GL086791. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086791>

Tarasick, D.W., I. Galbally, O.R. Cooper, M.G. Schultz, G. Ancellet, T. LeBlanc, T.J. Wallington, J. Ziemke, X. Liu, M. Steinbacher, J. Stähelin, C. Vigouroux, J. Hannigan, O. García, G. Foret, P. Zanis, E. Weatherhead, I. Petropavlovskikh, H. Worden, J.L. Neu, M. Osman, J. Liu, M. Lin, M. Granados-Muñoz, A.M. Thompson, S.J. Oltmans, J. Cuesta, G. Dufour, V. Thouret, B. Hassler, A.M. Thompson and T. Trickl (2019), TOAR- Observations: Tropospheric ozone from 1877 to 2016, observed levels, trends and uncertainties, *Elem Sci Anth*, 7(1), p.39. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.376>.

Tarasick, D.W., J. Davies, H.G.J. Smit and S.J. Oltmans (2016), A re-evaluated Canadian ozonesonde record: measurements of the vertical distribution of ozone over Canada from 1966 to 2013, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 9, 195-214, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-195-2016>.

Smit, H.G.J., W. Straeter, B. Johnson, S. Oltmans, J. Davies, D.W. Tarasick, B. Hoegger, R. Stubi, F. Schmidlin, T. Northam, A. Thompson, J. Witte, I. Boyd and F. Posny (2007) Assessment of the performance of ECC-ozonesondes under quasi-flight conditions in the environmental simulation chamber: Insights from the Juelich Ozone Sonde Intercomparison Experiment (JOSIE), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D19306, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007308>.

Tarasick, D.W., J. Davies, K. Anlauf, M. Watt, W. Steinbrecht and H.J. Claude (2002) Laboratory investigations of the response of Brewer-Mast sondes to tropospheric ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D16), 4308, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001167>.

#### Instrument Description:

At Eureka the ECC type ozone sonde has been used exclusively since 1992. A detailed description of the instrument and the preparation methods are given in the references; see in particular *Tarasick et al.* (2016). The program has used Science Pump 5A, 6A and EN-SCI 1Z and 2Z type ECC sondes, prepared the same way irrespective of the manufacturer, using a 1% KI solution (along with KBr and a pH buffer). Thermistor placement has changed (from box to pump). Older data have been revised for these known biases according to *Tarasick et al.* (2016), but this is not necessarily reflected in the NDACC archives (users are cautioned to check). However, data after 2014 are so corrected as part of standard processing. Differences are small (1-5%) but will affect trend calculations if uncorrected data before 2015 are used with newer data.

Prior to 2006, the ECC's were connected to Vaisala RS-80-15 type radio sondes using the Vaisala RSA-11 interface card. Vaisala RS-92 type radio sondes were used until late 2018, when the network switched to Graw DFM-09 sondes.

“Background current” is subtracted according to the original method (assumed to be proportional to pressure). While this is known to be incorrect, it produces better results than the (also incorrect) ASOPOS-recommended constant background subtraction. Future data revisions of the data record will revise this (for all flights) to a time-dependent “background” subtraction (e.g. *Tarasick et al.*, 2021).

#### Algorithm Description:

Ozone is calculated as a partial pressure. PTU data from the sonde is not used directly in the calculation except in the pump correction.

$$PPOZ(nb) = 0.004307 * i * \text{Temperature} * t$$

where:  $i$  is the current from the sensor - background in  $\mu\text{A}$   
 $t$  is the time in seconds to pump 0.100 liters of air through the pump multiplied by the pump correction.

No individual calibration of the pump correction is made.

The pump correction is interpolated from a manufacturer-supplied table, included in each file.

Expected Uncertainty of Instrument:

PTU values for RS 92 Radiosonde

Pressure: +/- 0.5 hPa

Temperature: +/- 0.3 K

Humidity: +/- 2% RH (in troposphere)

Geopotential Height: (calculated, pre-GPS; uncertainties propagated from P and T uncertainties)

Pump Temperature: +/- 0.5 K

Ozone Partial Pressure: +/- 3-7% depending on altitude, not including additional uncertainties from bias corrections (see *Tarasick et al., 2021* or WMO ASOPOS-2 report, for more detail)

The main sources of uncertainty are the radiosonde pressure bias uncertainty at high altitudes (in non-GPS sondes) and background current in the troposphere.

Instrument History:

| Year | Change                             | Possible Effect                                                                                                                           |
|------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1979 | ECC 3A introduced                  | ~15% increase in tropospheric response relative to BM sondes. Sonde temperature measured via rod thermistor.                              |
| 1984 | ECC 4A introduced                  | redesigned pump; maximum change <1%, at 50-20 hPa. Sonde "box" temperature measured; new rod thermistor.                                  |
| 1993 | ECC 5A introduced                  | New pump correction; maximum change ~1%, at 100 hPa.                                                                                      |
| 1993 | Vaisala RS-80, RSA-11 introduced   | Older VIZ sonde: warm bias in daytime; pressure errors. May introduce altitude shifts in profile; ozone increases of up to ~2% at 20 hPa. |
| 1996 | ECC 6A                             | No differences below about 20-25 km [ <i>Smit et al., 2000</i> ].                                                                         |
| 2000 | ENSCI 1Z introduced                | High bias with 1% KI solution [ <i>Smit et al., 2007</i> ].                                                                               |
| 2004 | 3cc solution (southern sites)      | Better ozone capture in troposphere                                                                                                       |
| 2006 | Vaisala RS-92 introduced           | RS80s low by ~20m in the troposphere, high by 100m at 10hPa (Steinbrecht et al., 2008)                                                    |
| 2007 | Thermistor in ECC pump             | More accurate measurement of air volume                                                                                                   |
| 2015 | Change to standard data processing | Corrections made for ENSCI high bias with 1% KI solution                                                                                  |
| 2018 | Graw DFM-09 introduced             |                                                                                                                                           |
| 2021 | ECC 6A                             | No correction for 1% solution                                                                                                             |